



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/602,279 | 06/23/2000 | Mario Nemirovsky | MIPS.0168-00-US | 9147 |
| 23669 7590 04/23/2008 HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C. 1900 MESA AVE. COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906 | | | EXAMINER PEYTON, TAMMARA R | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2182 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE 04/23/2008 | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@HUFFMANLAW.NET

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/602,279 | Applicant(s) NEMIROVSKY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner TAMMARA R. PEYTON | Art Unit 2182 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TAMMARA R. PEYTON. (3)_____.

(2) Vicky Hogan, Legal Assistant. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 09 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An old notice of allowability (originally mailed 9/24/07) was erroneously mailed out with a current Non-Final Office Action on 4/3/08. For clarification, Applicant is instructed to disregard that old NOA and respond to the Non-Final Office Action rejecting the claims over Kalkunte.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tammara R Peyton/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required